Tetrahedron 57 (2001) 5227-5232

# Stereoselective synthesis of 3-hydroxy-2-sulfonyltetrahydrofurans from β-(triethylsilyloxy)aldehydes and p-tolylsulfonyldiazomethane

Steven R. Angle\* and Stephanie Z. Shaw

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

Dedicated to Professor Barry M. Trost, an outstanding researcher, teacher, and mentor, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Received 16 February 2001; revised 23 March 2001; accepted 26 March 2001

**Abstract**—A new method for the stereoselective syntheses of 2-sulfonyltetrahydrofurans from  $\beta$ -(triethylsilyloxy)aldehydes and p-tolylsulfonyldiazomethane in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> as Lewis acid is reported. The versatility of the sulfonyl functional group allows further functionalization alpha to the sulfonyl group. For example, treatment of 2-sulfonlytetrahydrofuran **2b** with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> and allyl silane afforded alkylative desulfonylation product in 85% yield. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Many biologically active natural products contain highly substituted tetrahydrofuran (THF) subunits. <sup>1-7</sup> *C*-glycosides represent an important sub set of these five-membered oxygen heterocycles. The ready availability of highly substituted furanoses have made these carbohydrates logical starting materials for the preparation of *C*-glycosides. Indeed, the introduction of alkyl and aryl substituents at the anomeric position of cyclic acetals has been a strategically important synthetic route to *C*-glycosides. <sup>8</sup> In spite of the available methods, there is still a need to develop new general, versatile and selective routes to these compounds.

The sulfone is a versatile functional group that allows the formation of carbon–carbon bonds at the sulfonyl containing carbon by formation of either an anionic or a cationic intermediate depending upon the reaction conditions. Thus, an efficient synthesis of sulfonyl glycosides should provide versatile intermediates that could be used to prepare more complex THF containing natural products, including *C*-glycosides. For example, deprotonation of a 2-sulfonyl-tetrahydrofuran would afford an anion that could be alkylated<sup>9–11</sup> or acylated<sup>12,13</sup> to introduce a wide variety of substituents. Alternatively, alkylative desulfonylation<sup>13–16</sup> could be achieved by treatment of the 2-sulfonyltetrahydrofuran with a Lewis acid to afford an oxonium ion intermediate which can be trapped with an appropriate nucleophile, such as an allyl silane.

We have recently reported the stereoselective synthesis of THFs from  $\beta$ -(triethylsilyloxy)aldehydes with benzyldiazoacetate<sup>17</sup> and aryldiazomethanes. <sup>18</sup> The formation of THFs via our methodology is in direct competition with the well known <sup>19</sup> homologation of aldehydes to ketones with various diazo compounds. We have found that the formation of ketone byproducts can be suppressed and the yield of THFs enhanced by the careful choice of aldehyde, reaction temperature and Lewis acid. We report herein the extension of this methodology to the stereoselective synthesis of 2-(arylsulfonyl)tetrahydrofurans from acyclic precursors.

### 2. Results and discussion

Reaction of β-(triethylsilyloxy)aldehydes with *p*-tolyl-sulfonyldiazomethane<sup>20</sup> was studied under a variety of conditions to maximize the formation of 2-sulfonyltetrahydrofurans. The experimental conditions (temperature, reaction time, and Lewis acid) were optimized using aldehyde  $\bf 1a$  and p-tolylsulfonyldiazomethane (1.1 equiv.) as shown in Table 1. As seen in entry 1, SnCl<sub>4</sub> gave mainly β-ketosulfone. ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (entries 2 and 3) and BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (entries 4–7) afforded THF  $\bf 2a$  in comparable yields. Reactions mediated by ZrCl<sub>4</sub> afforded better THF/ketone ratios; however BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> mediated reactions required shorter time, contained fewer byproducts, and were easier to purify. The optimized reaction conditions employed 1 equiv. of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> at -78°C for two hours and afforded THF  $\bf 2a$  in 35% yield (entry 5).

In an effort to investigate the scope and limitations of this methodology, various  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -(triethylsilyloxy)-

Keywords: stereoselection; tetrahydrofurans; diazo compounds; sulfone.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-909-787-3548; fax: +1-909-787-4713; e-mail: steven.angle@ucr.edu

Table 1. Survey of Lewis acids and conditions

TESO O 
$$Ar = C_6H_4(\rho\text{-CH}_3)$$
  $RO O R = TES, H$   $RO O R = TES, H$ 

Entry	Lewis acid (equiv.)	Time (h)	Temperature (°C)	2a (%)	3a (%)
1	SnCl <sub>4</sub> (0.2)	2	-78	2	85
2	$ZrCl_4 (0.2)^a$	27	-78	34	16
3	$ZrCl_4 (1.0)^a$	7	-78	30	12
4	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2 (0.2)$	2	-78	29	40
5	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (1.0)	2	-78	35	54
6	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (2.0)	0.5	-78	35	49
7	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (1.0)	0.5	0	17	42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Reaction was carried out in toluene, all other reactions were ran in dicholormethane.

aldehydes (1a–1g) were studied (Table 2). The yield of 4,4-disubstituted and 4-monosubstituted THFs seem to correlate to the steric bulk alpha to the aldehyde. As the size of the  $\alpha$ -substituent increased, the yield of THF also increased relative to the β-ketosulfone. The reaction of nonsubstituted aldehyde 1c with *p*-tolylsulfonyldiazomethane in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> afforded THF 2c in 32% and β-ketosulfone 3c in 29% yield. The more sterically hindered  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -diethylaldehyde 1b afforded THF 2b in 65% yield and β-ketosulfone 3b in 21% yield. In the case of  $\alpha$ -monosubstituted aldehydes 1d–1g, the yield of THFs 2d–2g increased as the size of the  $\alpha$ -substituent increased from methyl to *t*-butyl and the yield of β-ketosulfones 3d–3g decreased (Table 2).

Our previous work with benzyldiazoacetate and aryldiazomethane showed an increase in diastereoselectivity of THF products as the steric bulk of  $\alpha$ -substituents of the aldehydes increased from methyl to t-butyl. <sup>17,18</sup> It is remarkable that when the diazo compound was changed to an arylsulfonyldiazomethane, only one THF diastereomer was observed in every reaction. The stereochemistry of the THF products is consistent with that seen for the major THF diastereomers in the reaction of benzyldiazoacetate and aryldiazomethane with these same substrates. <sup>17,18</sup>

The stereochemical assignments of THFs **2a** and **2f** were determined by single crystal X-ray structures. All other THFs were assigned by comparison of the H–H coupling constants to these two compounds. In the case of the 2,3,4-trisubstituted THFs, the 4-alkyl substituent was in a *cis*-orientation relative to the hydroxy group and in a *trans*-orientation relative to the sulfone. The 4,4-disubstituted THFs also possess the same *trans* relationship between the alcohol and the sulfone substituents.

In an effort to further optimize the yield of THF, various para-substituted arylsulfonyldiazomethanes were studied (Table 3). Both *p*-chlorophenylsulfonyldiazomethane **4b** and *p*-methoxyphenylsulfonyldiazomethane **4c** were prepared under similar conditions to the preparation of *p*-tolylsulfonyldiazomethane. Arylsulfonyldiazomethane **4b** afforded THF **2h** in 46% and β-ketosulfone **3h** in 35% while **4c** provided THF **2i** in 52% yield and β-ketosulfone **3i** in 28% yield (Table 3). To summarize the results, varying the para-substituents on arylsulfonyldiazomethane did not seem to have a significant effect on the yield of THFs.

To illustrate the synthetic utility of the 2-sulfonyltetrahydrofurans, 4,4-diethyl-3-hydroxy-2-sulfonyltetrahydrofuran **2b** was treated with trimethyl allylsilane (4 equiv.) and BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (2 equiv.) to afford 2-allyltetrahydrofuran **5** in 85% yield as a single diastereomer (Scheme 1).<sup>21</sup> To assign the stereochemistry of **5**, *p*-nitrobenzoate **6** (Scheme 2) was prepared and the relative stereochemistry was tentatively assigned to be *trans* between the ester and the allyl groups by the NOESY experiment summarized in Fig. 1. It is interesting to note that the addition of the allyl group at the 2-position of the THF retained the relative stereochemistry of the sulfonyl group.

#### 3. Conclusion

In summary, we have shown a one-step method for the stereoselective synthesis of 4-alkyl-3-hydroxy-2-sulfonyl-tetrahydrofurans from  $\beta$ -(triethylsilyloxy)aldehydes and p-tolylsulfonyldiazomethane in the presence of  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ . The alkylative desulfonylation of 4,4-diethyl-3-hydroxy-2-sulfonyltetrahydrofuran with retention of configuration also demonstrates the versatility of the sulfone as a building block for formation of THF derivatives. Further functionalization of the THF products and application of this methodology natural product synthesis is currently under investigation.

**Table 2.** Synthesis of THFs from aldehydes and p-tolylsulfonyldiazomethane<sup>a</sup>

methane"					
Entry	Aldehyde	$THF^b$	β-Ketosulfone		
1	Me Me H TESO O	Me Me Me O'''/SO <sub>2</sub> Ar <b>2a</b> , 35%	Me Me SO <sub>2</sub> Ar RO O <b>3a</b> , 54%° R = TES, H		
2	TESO O 1b	Et OH Et '''SO <sub>2</sub> Ar <b>2b</b> , 65%	Et Et SO <sub>2</sub> Ar O So <sub>2</sub> Ar RO O Sb, 21% <sup>c</sup> R = TES, H		
3	TESO O 1c	OH ""SO <sub>2</sub> Ar <b>2c</b> , 32%	SO <sub>2</sub> Ar RO O <b>3c</b> , 29% <sup>c</sup> R = TES, H		
4	TESO O 1d	Me H'''SO <sub>2</sub> Ar <b>2d</b> , 34%	Me SO <sub>2</sub> Ar HO O <b>3d</b> , 17%		
5	TESO O 1e	Bn OH H'''SO <sub>2</sub> Ar <b>2e</b> , 48%	Bn SO <sub>2</sub> Ar HO O <b>3e</b> , 18%		
6	TESO O	i-Pr Hi'SO <sub>2</sub> Ar <b>2f</b> , 70%	<b>3f</b> , <2% <sup>d</sup>		
7	t-Bu H TESO O	t-Bu OH Hi"SO <sub>2</sub> Ar 2g, 74%	t-Bu SO <sub>2</sub> Ar HO O <b>3g</b> ,		

 $<sup>^</sup>aReaction\ conditions:$  1 equiv. of aldehyde and  $BF_3\cdot OEt_2$  and 1.1 equiv. of arylsulfonyldiazomethane for 2 h at  $-78^\circ C.$ 

### 4. Experimental

# 4.1. General procedure for the reaction of arylsulfonyldiazomethane with aldehydes 1

Freshly distilled BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (1.0 equiv.) was added dropwise over 30 min to a solution of aldehyde (1.0 equiv.) and arylsulfonyldiazomethane (1.1 equiv.) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.2 M) at -78°C. After stirring for 2 h, the reaction mixture was poured into a stirred solution of saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated to afford crude product. Flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate) afforded THFs and the ketones in the yields indicated in Table 2.

## 4.1.1. $(2R^*,3S^*)-4,4$ -Dimethyl-3-hydroxy-2-[(4-methyl-

**Table 3.** Reaction of aldehyde **1c** with arylsulfonyldiazomethanes

Entry	Ar	THF	β-Ketosulfone
1	<b>4a</b> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (p-CH <sub>3</sub> )	<b>2c</b> (65%)	<b>3c</b> (21%) <sup>a</sup>
2	<b>4b</b> $C_6H_4(p-Cl)$	<b>2h</b> (46%)	<b>3h</b> (35%)
3	$4c C_6H_4(p\text{-OCH}_3)$	<b>2i</b> (52%)	<b>3i</b> (28%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Combined yields of both silated and desilated ketones.

SiMe<sub>3</sub>
OH
$$\begin{array}{c}
2.5 \text{ eq BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2 \\
\hline
CH_2Cl_2, -78^{\circ}\text{C - rt} \\
85\%
\end{array}$$
Ar = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>( $p$ -CH<sub>3</sub>)
2b
5

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

(arrows indicate NOEs)

Figure. 1. NOE enhancements in NOESY experiments.

phenyl)-sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2a) and 3,3-dimethyl-4-hydroxy-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-2-butanone (3a). Aldehyde 1a (111 mg, 0.51 mmol) afforded THF 2a (48.3 mg, 35%) as a white solid (mp 120–121°C) and β-ketosulfone 3a (triethylsilyl ether=87.9 mg, alcohol=10.8 mg, combined yield of 54%) as a white solid (mp 73.0–74.0°C). THF 2a:  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.83 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.63 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (br dd, J=2.9, 6.5 Hz, 1H),

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{b}}\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{4}(p\mathrm{-CH}_{3}).$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Combined yields of both silated and desilated ketones.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR only.

3.74 (ABq, J=8.5 Hz,  $\Delta \nu=27.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.98 (br d, J=3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  145.2, 133.9, 129.8, 129.0, 97.4, 80.7, 77.4, 42.6, 21.7, 19.2; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3593, 3585, 3057, 1598,, 1313, 1107 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 288 (58, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 253 (85), 139 (42), 115 (100), 97 (32); HRMS calcd for  $C_{13}H_{22}NO_4S$  (MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 288.1270, found <sup>1</sup>H NMR β-ketosulfone **3a** (alcohol): 288.1276. (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.79 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (s, 2H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 6H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  203.4, 145.2, 136.3, 129.7, 128.5, 68.8, 61.7, 50.8, 21.7, 20.6; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3615, 3585, 3058, 2974, 1715, 1598, 1471, 1324, 1031 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 288 (29, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 189 (20), 134 (61), 124 (18), 117 (100), 91 (32); HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S (MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 288.1270, found 288.1264.

4.1.2.  $(2R^*,3S^*)-4,4$ -Diethyl-3-hydroxy-2-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2b) and 3,3-diethyl-4triethylsilyloxy-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-2-butanone (3b). Aldehyde 1b (137 mg, 0.56 mmol) afforded THF **2b** (109 mg, 65%) as a white solid (mp 89–91°C) and β-ketosulfone **3b** (triethylsilyl ether=31.6 mg, alcohol=13.0 mg, combined yield of 21%) as a white solid (mp 28.5-30.5°C). THF **2b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.82 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.76 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (ABq, J=9.2 Hz,  $\Delta \nu=50.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 2H), 2.58 (br s, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.67 (m, 1H), 1.46 (m, 3H), 0.95 (t, *J*=7.7 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  145.1, 133.8, 129.7, 129.0, 98.6, 48.6, 26.3, 21.8, 20.9, 8.8, 8.3; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2970, 1652, 1302, 1148, 1071 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI,  $NH_3$ ) m/z 316 (31,  $MNH_4^+$ ), 281 (18), 160 (35), 143 (100), 139 (75), 128 (38); HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S  $(MNH_4^+)$  316.1583, found 316.1585.  $\beta$ -ketosulfone **3b** (triethylsilyl ether): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.82 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 3.52 (s, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.61(dt, J=7.7, 14.9 Hz, 2H), 1.44 (dt, J=7.7, 14.9 Hz, 2H), 0.86 (t, J=8.2 Hz, 9H), 0.67 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.50 (q, J=7.7 Hz, 6H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 202.7, 144.5, 136.7, 129.3, 128.5, 63.6, 62.3, 57.2, 22.7, 21.6, 7.70, 6.70, 4.10; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2959, 2877, 1713, 1597, 1414, 1321, 1091, 909 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI) m/z 413 (5, MH<sup>+</sup>), 383 (94), 241 (100), 227 (83), 177 (46), 91 (25); HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{37}O_4SiS (MH^+) 413.2182$ , found 413.2194.

4.1.3.  $(2R^*,3S^*)$ -3-Hydroxy-2-[(4-methylphenyl)-sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2c) and 4-hydroxy-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-2-butanone (3c). Aldehyde 1c (121 mg, 0.64 mmol) afforded THF 2c (49.8 mg, 32%) as a white solid (mp 97–98°C) and β-ketosulfone 3c (triethylsilyl ether=56.8 mg, alcohol=6.6 mg, combined yield of 29%) as a white solid (mp 45.0-48.5°C). THF 2c: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.13-5.01 (m, 1H), 4.75 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.22–4.11 (m, 2H), 3.02 (d, *J*=4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.41-2.32 (m, 1H), 2.08-1.99 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 141.1, 133.4, 129.7, 129.0, 99.9, 72.1, 70.3, 34.5, 21.7; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3607, 2901, 2256, 1314, 1149 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 260 (53, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 225 (23), 139 (37), 104 (28), 87 (100); HRMS calcd for  $C_{11}H_{18}NO_4S$  (MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 260.0957, found 260.0949.  $\beta$ -ketosulfone **3c** (alcohol):  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (s, 2H), 3.86 (t, J=5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.96 (t, J=5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.21 (br s, 1H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  198.5, 145.5, 135.5, 129.9, 128.2, 67.5, 57.4, 46.5, 21.8; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3608, 3019, 2931, 1716, 1597, 1396, 1216, 1063, 709 cm $^{-1}$ ; MS (EI) m/z 242 (3, M $^{+}$ ), 170 (68), 155 (85), 105 (45), 91 (100), 87 (51); HRMS calcd for  $C_{11}H_{14}O_4S$  (M $^{+}$ ) 242.0613, found 242.0621.

4.1.4.  $(2R^*,3S^*,4S^*)$ -3-Hydroxy-4-methyl-2-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-tetrahydrofuran (2d) and 4-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-2-butanone (3d). Aldehyde 1d (161 mg, 0.80 mmol) afforded THF 2d (71.0 mg, 34%) as a white solid (mp 95–96°C) and  $\beta$ -ketosulfone **3d** (triethylsilyl ether, 50.8 mg, 17%) as a clear oil; THF **2d**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.79 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.89 (br s, 1H), 4.76 (d, J=2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (dd, J=7.2, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (apparent t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (br d, J=4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.61–2.52 (m,1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.07 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 145.1, 133.6, 129.7, 129.0, 99.8, 75.9,$ 73.4, 38.1, 21.8, 9.8; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3615, 2929, 2254, 1303, 1140, 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 274 (95, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 239 (69), 174 (25), 139 (55), 118 (48), 101 (100); HRMS calcd for  $C_{12}H_{20}NO_4S$  (MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 274.1113, found 274.1101. β-ketosulfone **3d** (alcohol): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 3.8-3.66 (m, 2H), 3.16-3.05 (m, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.09 (d, J=7.2 Hz 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 201.8, 145.3, 135.8, 129.8, 128.2, 66.1, 64.3, 49.4, 21.8, 12.4; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3626, 2937, 2881, 2257, 1715, 1597, 1397, 1324, 1032 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI) m/z 257 (24, MH<sup>+</sup>), 239 (63), 155 (85), 105 (43), 91 (100), 65 (61); HRMS calcd for  $C_{12}H_{17}O_4S$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 257.0848, found 257.0844.

4.1.5.  $(2R^*,3S^*,4S^*)$ -3-Hydroxy-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-[(4methylphenyl)-sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2e) and 4hydroxy-3-(phenylmethyl)-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-**2-butanone** (**3e**). Aldehyde **1e** (167 mg, 0.60 mmol) afforded THF 2e (95.7 mg, 48%) as a clear oil and β-ketosulfone 3e (alcohol, 47.7 mg, 18%) as a clear oil. THF 2e: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32-7.18 (m, 5H), 4.93 (br t, J=3.6 Hz,1H), 4.85 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (apparent t, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (apparent t, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (br d, J=5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (dd, J=6.7, 13.3 Hz, 1H), 2.84–2.70 (m,1H), 2.65 (dd, J=8.7, 13.3 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 145.1, 139.5, 133.4, 129.7, 129.0, 128.51, 128.46, 126.2, 100.3, 74.1, 72.5, 45.3, 31.1, 21.7; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3609, 2360, 2255, 1314, 1149 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 350 (81, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 194 (43), 182 (100), 174 (46), 159 (40), 139 (68); HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}NO_4S$  $(MNH_4^+)$  350.1426, found 350.1426.  $\beta$ -ketosulfone **3e** (alcohol):  $^{1}H$  NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.65 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28-7.13 (m,5H), 4.10 (ABq, J=13.9 Hz,  $\Delta \nu=27.5$  Hz, 2H), 3.85–3.70 (m, 2H), 3.42-3.34 (m, 1H), 2.83 (ddd, J=7.7, 13.9, 21.5 Hz, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.25 (br t, J=5.6 Hz 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 201.4, 145.3, 137.9, 135.6, 129.8, 128.9, 128.6, 128.2, 126.6, 67.1, 62.5, 56.6, 33.9, 21.8; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3621, 2928, 2257, 1715, 1598, 1323, 1085 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) *m/z* 350 (100, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 332 (22), 320 (55), 159 (13), 146 (27), 91 (13); HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}NO_4S$  (MN $H_4^+$ ) 350.1426, found 350.1421.

**4.1.6.** (2 $R^*$ ,3 $S^*$ ,4 $S^*$ )-3-Hydroxy-4-(methyl)ethyl-2-[(4-methylphenyl)-sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2f). Aldehyde 1f (114 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded THF 2f (98.9 mg, 70%) as a white solid (mp 112–113°C). THF 2f: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.75 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.90 (t, J=5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (s, 1H), 4.24 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (dd, J=7.7, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (br d, J=4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.24–2.10 (m, 1H), 1.92–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.03 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 145.6, 134.0, 129.6, 129.0, 102.0, 74.9, 72.7, 51.9, 25.9, 22.3, 22.2; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3615, 2964, 2882, 2263, 1315, 1148 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 302 (96, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 174 (57), 156 (24), 146 (100), 139 (56), 129 (30); HRMS calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S (MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 302.1426, found 302.1413.

**4.1.7.** ( $2R^*,3S^*,4S^*$ )-3-Hydroxy-4-(dimethyl)ethyl-2-[(4-methylphenyl)-sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2g). Aldehyde 1g (161 mg, 0.66 mmol) afforded THF 2g (144 mg, 74%) as a white solid (mp 103–104°C). THF 2g: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.97 (t, J=5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (s, 1H), 4.19 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (dd, J=7.7, 11.8 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.20 (ddd, J=5.1, 8.2, 11.8 Hz 1H), 1.04 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  145.0, 133.5, 129.7, 129.6, 129.0, 128.9, 101.8, 73.4, 71.4, 53.0, 31.0, 29.4, 21.7; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3608, 2960, 2906, 2257, 1367, 1312, 1148, 1098 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 316 (21, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 174 (44), 143 (54), 139 (100), 85 (68), 57 (55); HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S (MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 316.1583, found 316.1569.

4.1.8. (2R\*,3S\*)-4,4-Diethyl-3-hydroxy-2-[(4-chlorophenyl)sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2h) and 3,3-diethyl-4-triethylsilyloxy-1-[(4-chlorophenyl)-sulfonyl]-2-butanone (3h). Aldehyde **1b** (165 mg, 0.68 mmol) afforded THF**2h** (98.9 mg, 46%) as a white solid (mp 102.5–103.5°C) and β-ketosulfone **3h** (triethylsilyl ether=75 mg, alcohol=21 mg, combined yield of 35%) as a white solid (mp 28.5-30.5°C). THF **2h**:  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.88 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (d, J=5.6 Hz,1H), 4.64 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (ABq, J=9.2 Hz,  $\Delta \nu = 45.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 2.73 \text{ (br s, 1H)}, 1.74 - 1.35 \text{ (m, 4H)},$ 0.95 (t, J=7.7 Hz 3H), 0.88 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 140.9, 135.4, 130.4, 129.4, 98.7, 77.3, 77.1, 48.7, 26.3, 21.0, 8.8, 8.3; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3599, 2970, 2882, 2260, 1582, 1476, 1314, 1080 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI) m/z 319 (9, MH<sup>+</sup>), 301 (43), 177 (48), 143 (99), 125 (80), 97 (58), 55 (100); HRMS calcd for  $C_{14}H_{20}O_4SC1$  (MH<sup>+</sup>) 319.0771, found 319.0762. β-ketosulfone 3h (triethylsilyl ether): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.91 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 1.69-1.54 (m, 2H), 1.53-1.41 (m, 2H), 0.89 (t, J=8.2 Hz, 9H), 0.72 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.54 (q, J=7.7 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 202.8, 140.4, 138.1, 130.3, 129.1, 63.9, 62.6, 57.4, 22.7, 7.80, 6.70, 4.20; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2959, 2877, 1711, 1583, 1395, 1326, 1092, 1014 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI) m/z 433 (28, MH<sup>+</sup>), 403 (92), 261 (100), 227 (98), 111 (64); HRMS calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SiSCl (MH<sup>+</sup>) 433.1636, found 433.1648.

4.1.9.  $(2R^*,3S^*)$ -4,4-Diethyl-3-hydroxy-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl]tetrahydrofuran (2i) and 3,3-diethyl-4hydroxy-1-[(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl]-2-butanone (3i). Aldehyde **1b** (150 mg, 0.61 mmol) afforded THF**2i** (99.4 mg, 52%) as a white solid (mp 95–96.5°C) and  $\beta$ ketosulfone 3i (triethylsilyl ether=40 mg, alcohol=52 mg, combined yield of 28%) as a white solid (mp 45.0–47.0°C). THF 2i: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.86 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (d, *J*=6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.75 (ABq, J=8.7 Hz,  $\Delta \nu = 30.66 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 3.05 \text{ (bs, 1H)}, 1.72 - 1.32 \text{ (m, 4H)},$ 0.92 (t, J=7.7 Hz 3H), 0.86 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 145.1, 133.8, 129.8, 129.7, 129.0, 128.9, 98.6, 48.6, 26.3, 21.8, 20.9, 8.8, 8.3; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3597, 2970, 2882, 2256, 1595, 1497, 1297, 1073 cm<sup>-</sup> MS (EI) m/z 314 (4, M<sup>+</sup>), 172 (68), 143 (100), 125 (42), 71 (65), 55 (81); HRMS calcd for  $C_{15}H_{22}O_5S$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 316.1583, found 316.1585. β-ketosulfone 3I (alcohol): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H, 4.34 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 2H), 3.05(bs, 1H), 1.56(q, J=7.7 Hz, 4H), 0.73(t, J=7.7 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 203.3, 163.8, 130.8, 130.7, 114.2, 63.4, 61.9, 57.9, 55.7, 22.8, 7.90; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3524, 2971, 2842, 2257, 1708, 1596, 1323, 1262, 1028, 921 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 332 (57, MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), 315 (4, MH<sup>+</sup>), 302 (74), 285 (100), 266 (57), 171 (46), 155 (84), 95 (20); HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S (MNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 332.1532, found 332.1523.

4.1.10.  $(2R^*,3S^*)$ -2-Allyl-4,4-diethyl-3-hydroxytetrahydro**furan (5).** Freshly distilled BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (0.31 mL, 2.46 mmol) was added to a solution of 2b (29.4 mg, 0.99 mmol), trimethyl allylsilane (0.63 mL, 3.94 mmol) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.2 M) at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 d. The reaction mixture was then poured into a stirred solution of saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated to afford crude product. Flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate) afforded 5 (155 mg, 85%) as a clear oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.93–5.79 (m, 1H), 3.64–3.53 (m, 3H), 3.64–3.53 (m, 3H), 2.44–2.35 (m, 2H), 1.73 (d, J=4.1 Hz, 1H), 1.60– 1.27 (m, 4H), 0.86 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  134.5, 117.2, 84.8, 81.6, 76.2, 47.9, 38.5, 27.2, 21.4, 8.5, 8.4; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3620, 3457, 2969, 2880, 2248, 1641, 1458 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (EI) m/z 185 (5, MH<sup>+</sup>), 183 (5, (M– H)<sup>+</sup>), 167 (17), 143 (100), 125 (22), 85 (25); HRMS calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (MH<sup>+</sup>) 185.1542, found 185.1540.

**4.1.11.** ( $2R^*$ , $3S^*$ )-2-Allyl-4,4-diethyl-3-[4-nitrobenzoate]-tetrahydrofuran (6). A solution of 5 (10.3 mg, 0.56 mmol), p-nitrobenzoyl chloride (12.4 mg, 0.67 mmol), DMAP (14.0 mg, 0.11 mmol), pyridine (0.10 mL, 1.23 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.1 M) was stirred at room temperature for 7 d. The reaction mixture was diluted with ether and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1N HCl and water. The organic extract was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. Flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate) afforded **6** (47.7 mg, 26%) as a white solid; mp 62–63°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.31 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.20 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.92–5.78 (m, 1H), 5.16 (bdd, J=27.7, 1.02 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (bdd, J=21.0, 1.03 Hz 1H), 3.93–3.87 (m, 1H), 3.73 (ABq, J=9.2 Hz,  $\Delta \nu$ =42.4 Hz, 2H), 2.64–

2.42 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.47 (m, 4H), 0.93 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  163.8, 150.6, 135.3, 133.8, 130.6, 123.6, 117.6, 84.2, 82.9, 49.2, 38.3, 26.5, 22.0, 8.6, 8.2; IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2971, 1723, 1530, 1349, 1275 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z 334 (11, MH<sup>+</sup>), 304 (100), 292 (33), 174 (22), 167 (13), 52 (31); HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}NO_5$  (MH<sup>+</sup>) 334.1654 found 334.1651.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the NSF (CHE-9528266) for financial support of this research.

#### References

- 1. Diflorio, R.; Rizzacasa, M. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 8595–8598 and references cited therein.
- Jiang, Z.; Chen, R.; Chen, Y.; Yu, D. J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 86–88.
- 3. Bermejo, A.; Tormo, J. R.; Cabedo, N.; Estornell, E.; Figadere, B.; Cortes, D. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 5158–5166.
- 4. Boivin, T. L. B. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 3309-3362.
- Yu, P.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z. Y.; Mak, T. C. W.; Wong, H. N. C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6359–6366.
- 6. Evans, D. A.; Ratz, A. M.; Huft, B. E.; Sheppard, G. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 3448–3467.
- Holler, U.; Konig, G. M.; Wright, A. D. J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 114–118.
- 8. For recent examples and leading references: (a) Brown, D. X.; Bruno, M.; Ley, S. V. Heterocycles (Special Issue) 1989, 28 (2), 773 and references cited therein. (b) Postema, M. H. D. C-Glycoside Synthesis, CRC: Boca Raton, 1995. (c) Postema, M. H. D. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 8545–8599. (d) Du, Y. G.; Linhardt, R. J.; Vlahov, I. R. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 9913–9959. (e) Bichard, C. J. F.; Brandstetter, T. W.; Estevez, J. C.; Fleet, G. W. J.; Hughes, D. J.; Wheatley, J. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 2151–2156. (f) Tomooka, K.; Kikuchi, M.; Igawa, K.; Keong, P. H.; Nakai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1917–1920. (g) Dotz, K. H.; Jakel, C.; Haase, W. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 617–618, 119–132. (h) Khan, N.; Cheng, X.; Mootoo, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4918–4919.

- Julia, M.; Uguen, D.; Verpeaus, J.; Zhang, D. Synth. Lett. 1991, 505–506.
- Brown, D. S.; Bruno, M.; Davenport, R. J.; Ley, S. V. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 4293–4295.
- Rothberg, I.; Sundoro, B.; Balanikas, G.; Kirsch, S. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4345–4348.
- Brown, D. S.; Ley, S. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4869– 4872.
- (a) Trost, B. M.; Ghadiri, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1098–1100.
   (b) Trost, B. M.; Ghadiri, M. R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1993, 130, 442–443.
- Simpkins, N. S. Sulphones in Organic Synthesis, Pergamon: Oxford, 1993.
- Ley, S. V.; Lygo, B.; Wonnacott, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 535–538.
- Fotsch, C. H.; Chamberlin, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4141–4147.
- (a) Angle, S. R.; Wei, G. P.; Ko, Y. K.; Kubo, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8041–8042. (b) Angle, S. R.; Bernier, D. S.; El-Said, N. A.; Jones, D. E.; Shaw, S. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3919–3922. (c) Angle, S. R.; Bernier, D. S.; Chann, K.; Jones, D. E.; Kim, M. S.; Neitzel, M. L.; White, S. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8195–8198.
- Angle, S. R.; Neitzel, M. L. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8754– 8757.
- For leading references and recent examples: (a)Holmquist,
   C. R.; Roskamp, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3258–3260.
   (b) Holmquist, C. R.; Roskamp, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992,
   33, 1131–1134. (c) Angle, S. R.; Neitzel, M. L. J. Org. Chem.
   2000, 65, 6458–6561 and references cited therein.
- Van Leusen, A. M.; Strating, J. Organic Synthesis Collective Volume VI, Noland, W. E., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1988; pp 981.
- For stereoselective allylation of five-membered-ring oxocarbenium ions in a similar system see: Larsen, C. H.; Ridgway, B. R.; Shaw, J. T.; Woerpel, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, 121, 12208–12209.